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We encourage you to join the online meeting (https://cc.readytalk.com/r/bhw6ik3lwhee&eom) in order to take full advantage of interactivity such as polls, chat, and hand-raising.

- In order to participate in the conversation, please dial-in on your phone. If you are using audio on both your phone and computer, please mute or turn your computer volume all the way down.
- If you have connectivity issues, first refresh your browser.

If issues persist, contact Brian at bsilverman@rippleffect.com or call/text 240-388-8312.

Please do not put us on hold and remember to mute your line when you're not speaking.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeframe (ET)</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Facilitators/Presenters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Welcome, Meeting Overview and Guiding Principles</td>
<td>Charlie Fazio, Michael Bailit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regional Data Aggregation Approach</td>
<td>Michael Bailit, Dr. Joseph Cunningham, Dr. David Kendrick, Dr. Jack Sommers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Live Interview with Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Q&amp;A with PAC participants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Follow-up Activities: Feedback and Requests for Meeting #4</td>
<td>Michael Bailit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antitrust Statement

PAC Participants agree that all activities are in compliance with federal and state antitrust laws. In the course of discussion, no financial information from payer participants will be shared with other payers or the general public.

During meetings and other activities, including all formal and informal discussions, each payer participant will refrain from discussing or exchanging information regarding any competitively sensitive topics. Such information includes, but is not limited to:

- PMPM
- Shared savings or incentive payments
- Information about market share, profits, margins, costs, reimbursement levels or methodologies for reimbursing providers, or terms of coverage
CPC+ Payer Partner Collaboration Roadmap

10 Aligned Milestones

1. Shared Vision of Regional Success
2. Regional Action Plan
3. CPC+ Payer Learning Agenda
4. Alternative Payment Model for Primary Care
5. Care Delivery
6. Data Support to Practices
7. Quality Measure Alignment
8. Attribution Methodology and Administrative Alignment
9. Multi-Stakeholder Engagement
10. Evaluation for Success
Data Aggregation Approach & Experiences

Oklahoma

Dr. Joseph Cunningham
Divisional Senior
VP Health Care Delivery
and Chief Medical Officer
BCBS OK

Dr. David Kendrick
Principal investigator and CEO
MyHealth Access Network,
Dep of Medical Informatics Chair
OU School of Community Medicine
Assistant Provost for Strategic Planning
OU Health Sciences Center

Dr. Jack Sommers
Sr. VP and Chief Medical Officer
CommunityCare
Meeting Follow-Up Activities & Feedback

Before Track 2 Meeting #4…

1. The LAN will:
   - Distribute a summary of Meeting #3, including participant ideas for interim strategies
   - Look for opportunities to further support payers efforts in a targeted way through future PAC and CPC+ learning activities

2. Participants will:
   - Incorporate priorities in this area into shared vision statements, focusing on regional approach for getting data into the hands of providers
Meeting Feedback
Poll Question 1

Please let us know how you would rate the value of this meeting?

A. Very Valuable
B. Valuable
C. Somewhat Valuable
D. Not Valuable
Meeting Feedback
Poll Question 2

How can the next meeting be more valuable?
(Check all that apply)

A. Allow for more meeting time to ask questions of speakers
B. Eliminate interactive questioning and discussion; instead have a presentation by the invited guests
C. Have another guest discuss alignment of payer reports because data aggregation isn’t possible in my region
D. Provide meeting agenda and objectives sooner
E. Other
Meeting Feedback

Follow Up Question

Have you any requests for future meeting content?
# Looking Ahead

*Track 2: Sessions 4-6*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Virtual Meeting Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meeting 4</strong></td>
<td>- Dr. Richard Shonk, the Health Collaborative from Ohio and Al Knaub, Cigna from Colorado will share their respective regions' data alignment approaches and next steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Meeting 5** | - Review CPC+ clinical measure set  
- Discuss whether the CPC+ measure set should be supplemented at the state/regional level, and if so, top opportunities to supplement that set  
- Review steps to create an aligned measure set  
- Buying Value Measure Selection Tool presentation |
| **Meeting 6** | - Presentation by an organization that has created an aligned multi-payer primary care measure set  
- Q&A discussion regarding challenges and solutions to measure set alignment |

★ Session Added
PAC Team

Primary Points of Contact

Michael Bailit
mbailit@bailit-health.com

Lauren Icard
lauren.icard@us.gt.com

Candi Waller
ccwaller@mitre.org
Back Up Slides
Data Alignment Approaches

- **Aligning content/format of report to providers**
  - Common report file format (e.g., Excel)
  - Common file layout
  - Common data elements

- **Aggregating claims data or clinical data or both**

  **Ways of Providing Data to Practices**
  - Sending separate but aligned reports
  - Making reports accessible via a common portal
  - Sending a single report to practices
  - Making data available via a web portal or web-based tool
## Data Aggregation Approaches

*Profile of Regions/States*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region/State</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Colorado     | • Providing aggregated claims data to practices via a single web portal  
               • Payers are primarily driving and funding effort |
| Michigan     | • Aggregating claims and clinical data from participating payers and practices through a statewide multi-payer database  
               • Distributing data to practices through a web-based tool |
| Ohio         | • Sending practices aggregated claims data  
               • State-wide HIE |
| Oklahoma     | • Aggregating claims and clinical data from participating payers and practices through HIE  
               • Send separate cost reports to the practices |
| Vermont      | • Sending practices aggregated claims and clinical data in separate reports  
               • All-payer claims database |
### Profile of Regions/States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region/State</th>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>Sending practices reports with common content and format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>Sending practices reports with common format</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LAN Resources on Data Infrastructure

Supplemental Resources to Those Shared in this PAC Track

- **Webinar:** “Building a Robust Data Infrastructure that Supports Alternative Payment Models” October 5, 2016 Available at https://hcp-lan.org/events/ll-2016-10-05/

- **White Paper:** “Accelerating and Aligning Population-Based Payment Models: Data Sharing” August 8, 2016 Available at https://hcp-lan.org/groups/pbp/ds-final-whitepaper/

- **LAN Summit Presentations:**
  - “Data Infrastructure to Support APMs at Scale” April 26, 2016 Available at: https://www.lansummit.org/spring2016/blog/sessions/6b/
  - "Data Sharing: Accelerating and Aligning Population-Based Payment Models" April 26, 2016 Available at: https://www.lansummit.org/spring2016/blog/sessions/11d/