



Maternity Multi-Stakeholder Action Collaborative



Quality Measurement, Part 2

March 20, 2017

2:30 – 4:00 pm ET



Agenda

	Timeframe (ET)	Topic	Facilitators/Presenters
1	2:30 - 2:35 pm	Welcome and Meeting Overview	Elliot Main
2	2:35 – 2:55 pm	Participant Reports	Meeting Attendees
3	2:55 – 3:10 pm	Measure Selection Process Overview	Michael Bailit
4	3:10 – 3:55 pm	Expert Interviews and Participant Discussion	Dr. Mary Applegate Karin Hoyt Dr. Douglas Fish
5	3:55 – 4:00 pm	Wrap Up	Michael Bailit

Antitrust Statement

MAC Participants agree that all activities are in compliance with federal and state antitrust laws. In the course of discussion, **no financial information from participants will be shared with others or with the general public.**

During meetings and other activities, including all formal and informal discussions, each participant will refrain from discussing or exchanging information regarding any competitively sensitive topics. Such information includes, but is not limited to:

- ✓ PMPM
- ✓ Shared savings or incentive payments
- ✓ Information about market share, profits, margins, costs, reimbursement levels or methodologies for reimbursing providers, or terms of coverage




Meeting Objectives

- Review and discuss the steps involved in selecting individual quality measures for a maternity alternative payment model, including:
 1. *Determining whose performance is to be measured*
 2. *Determining the intended use(s) of the measure set*
 3. *Identifying populations and performance domains for measurement*
 4. *Identifying potential data sources and operational means for obtaining data*
 5. *Estimating the desired size of the measure set*
- Ask questions of and interact with each other and expert discussants representing organizations that have gone through a measure selection process for payment and/or quality improvement
- Harvest and gather leading and promising practices for further action
- Review action steps specific to this topic
- Solicit participant feedback and any specific requests for future meetings

Poll Results

What actions or steps have you taken so far to begin selecting measures?



Answer	Total #	Total %
<i>Continued preliminary research</i>	6	43%
<i>Used the Buying Value tool</i>	0	0%
<i>Met w/ senior leadership</i>	3	21%
<i>Developed a process</i>	1	7%
<i>Selected measure criteria</i>	2	14%
<i>Identified candidate measures</i>	4	29%
<i>Identified likely data sources</i>	5	36%
<i>Other Responses from Chat Box</i> • No “other” responses were typed into the chat box.	1	7%

Poll Results

How useful did you find the pre-read materials supplied on the MAC events page?



Answer	Total #	Total %
<i>Reviewed prior to today, useful</i>	3	17%
<i>Downloaded, not yet reviewed</i>	8	44%
<i>Not accessed, know where to find</i>	5	28%
<i>Will not use/do not find useful</i>	0	0%
<i>Did not know about pre-reads</i>	2	11%

Steps to Performance Measurement Selection 7

Covered During the March 3 Virtual Meeting

- Determine who should be participating in the measure selection process
- Identify the process by which measure selection decisions will be made.
- Identify criteria for measure selection
- Identify candidate measures

To Be Discussed Today, March 20

- Determine whose performance is to be measured
- Identify the intended use(s) of the measure set
- Identify populations and performance domains for measurement
- Identify potential data sources and operational means for obtaining data
- Estimate desired size of the measure set


QM Part 2: Selected Steps to Performance Measures Selection

Step	Options
1. Determine whose performance is to be measured	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Maternity care providers• Hospitals• Birth centers• Neonatologists• Pediatricians• A combination of the above
2. Identify the intended use(s) of the measure set	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• To adjust payment in some fashion• To monitor performance without financial consequence• To feedback performance information to service providers for use in quality improvement• To test new measures for potential future use

Step	Options
3. Identify populations and performance domains for measurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Populations</u>: all women, women with substance use disorder, women with mental illness, other women with high-risk pregnancies • <u>Performance domains</u>: Prenatal Care, Labor and Delivery, General Newborn, High-Risk Newborns, Maternal Complications, Emergency Care, Postpartum Care
4. Identify potential data sources and operational means for obtaining data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical data – from EHRs and/or HIE (if available) • Claim data • Survey data – provider and patient
5. Estimate desired size of the measure set	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For discussion


Poll Results

For a maternity APM, who are you planning to/who are you currently holding accountable for performance?




Answer	Total #	Total %
<i>Hospital</i>	9	50%
<i>OB/GYN</i>	13	72%
<i>PCP/Family Physicians</i>	6	33%
<i>Midwives</i>	8	44%
<i>Nurses</i>	3	17%
<i>Other Responses from Chat Box</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Birth Center for low risk</i>• <i>Neonatologist/ped</i>	5	28%

Poll Results



Answer	Total #	Total %
<i>Medical records clinical data</i>	7	30%
<i>Claims data</i>	17	74%
<i>Patient-reported data</i>	3	13%
<i>All-payer claims database</i>	5	22%
<i>Vital records</i>	7	30%
<i>Already-reported measures</i>	6	26%
<i>Other Responses from Chat Box</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>PDR Prenatal Registry of Birth Centers collecting data and measurements</i> 	2	9%

Poll Results



Answer	Total #	Total %
Relevant benchmarks	7	58%
Evidence-based	6	50%
Scientifically acceptable	5	42%
Address overuse/underuse	5	42%
Feasible to collect	9	75%
Likely to transform care/quality	5	42%
Balance outcome/process measures	2	17%
Aligned with other measure sets	7	58%
Potential improvement opportunity	7	58%
Stakeholder buy-in	5	42%
Chat Box Response: NQF Endorsement		

Approaches from the Field

Live interview with colleagues in New York and Ohio



Mary Applegate, MD
Medical Director
Ohio Department of
Medicaid



Karin Hoyt
Section Chief, Bureau
of Health Plan Policy
at Ohio Department
of Medicaid



Douglas Fish, MD
Medical Director
New York State
Department of Health
Office of Health
Insurance Programs

Ohio Department of Medicaid: Perinatal Episode Measures

Quality Metrics Linked to Gain-Sharing

- Prenatal HIV screening rate
- Prenatal GBS screening rate
- NTSV C-section rate
- Percent of episodes with follow-up visit within 60 days


Quality Metrics for Reporting Only

- Percent of episodes with prenatal gestational diabetes screening
- Percent of episodes with prenatal hepatitis B screening
- Number of ultrasounds
- Percent of episodes with chlamydia screening

NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH: CATEGORY 1 VBP MATERNITY MEASURE SET

Measure	Measure Steward	Measure Identifier	Classification
NSTV C-Section	The Joint Commission	NQF 0471	P4R
Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care	NCQA	NQF 1391	P4P
Incidence of Episiotomy	Christiana Care Health System	NQF 0470	P4R
Long-Acting Reversible Contraception (LARC) Update (postpartum measure)	U.S. Office of Population Affairs	NQF 2902	P4R
Low Birth Weight (Live births weighing less than 2,500 grams)	AHRQ	PQI 9	P4R
Percentage of Babies Exclusively Fed Breast Milk During Hospital Stay	The Joint Commission	NQF 0480	P4R
Percentage of preterm births	NYS Department of Health	--	P4R
Prenatal and Postpartum Care – Timeliness of Prenatal Care and Postpartum Visits	NCQA	NQF 1517	P4P
Preventive Care and Screening: Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Plan	CMS	NQF 0418	P4R


Poll Results



Answer	Total #	Total %
<i>Very Valuable</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>48%</i>
<i>Valuable</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>38%</i>
<i>Somewhat Valuable</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>14%</i>
<i>Not Valuable</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0%</i>

Poll Results

How can the next meeting be more valuable?



Answer	Total #	Total %
<i>Allow for more Q&A time</i>	0	0%
<i>Restructure guest presentation</i>	0	0%
<i>More aligned reporting focus</i>	6	55%
<i>Provide meeting agenda sooner</i>	1	9%
<i>Other</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Provide opportunity for input before the meeting</i> <i>Results! Changes in quality metrics</i> <i>Experience from other states - how many have maternity episodes?</i> 	4	36%

Poll Results

Have you any requests for future meeting content?

- ✓ “Member/Patient education and consumer engagement”
- ✓ “Consider adding some "pay for reporting" criteria within our blended case rate pilot”

What action steps related to quality measurement will be feasible for you to undertake based on this meeting?

- ✓ “I will take some of the excellent questions raised back to our VBP workgroup for discussion.”
- ✓ “Claims data analysis on measures”
- ✓ “Provider involvement in program design and measure acceptance”
- ✓ “Oregon Maternal Data Center investigation, considering asking clinical providers about access to clinical data”

Overall Quality Measurement, Part Two Virtual Meeting Feedback from Participants

- ✓ “Either during the meeting or following the meeting, provide some more detailed information on presenter content...having the information from today's Q&A written up would be helpful”
- ✓ “Liked having Michael keep the conversation going with his questions, which were great in guiding us toward more detail.”
- ✓ “Thanks for a great webinar”
- ✓ “I thought the meeting and speakers were informative. There was a lot of interesting information that came out regarding the measures, the thought that went into picking them, and the reaction of the clinical providers.”

Meeting Follow-Up Activities & Feedback

1. The LAN will:

- ✓ Distribute a summary of this meeting.
- ✓ Continue to encourage and foster conversation about quality measurement on the MAC Communications Portal.
- ✓ Seek input from MAC participants for drill-down topics of interest for future Quality Measurement virtual meetings in Q4.

2. We encourage participants to:

- ✓ Provide the LAN with feedback on their activity related to the issues discussed today.
- ✓ Develop plans and take action toward beginning or improving on the process of measure selection to support a maternity APM.
- ✓ Learn from each other by engaging and conversing on the MAC communication/collaboration portal.

Looking Ahead

Qtr	Session	Track	Session Name	Date	Time (ET)
1	1	1	Making the Business Case	2/8	2-3 PM
	2	2	Quality Measurement Part 1	3/3	1-2:30 PM
	3	2	Quality Measurement Part 2	3/20	3-4 PM
2	4	2	** NEXT: Setting the Patient Population **	4/14	1-2 PM
	5	1 & 2	Innovative Models of Maternity Care Delivery & Determining Services	5/4	1-2:30 PM
	6	2	Episode Price & Budget	5/22	2-3 PM
	7	2	Data Infrastructure	6/15	1-2 PM
	8	2	Contracting with Providers	7/7	1-2 PM
3	9	1	State Payers and MCOs	7/28	1-2 PM
	10	2	Topic TBD	8/16	2-3 PM
	11	2	Topic TBD	9/7	1-2 PM
	12	2	Topic TBD	9/27	1-2 PM

Continue the Conversation on the MAC Communication Portal

- Easily interact with your fellow MAC participants on a regular basis, in between scheduled virtual meetings
- Learn about promising practices and solutions used in other regions and markets for overcoming challenges related to episode payment
- Discuss MAC Track 1 and Track 2 topics and share effective ideas and action steps to common barriers
- Introduce new topics for discussion and raise questions leading up to, and following, MAC virtual meetings
- Be connected to subject matter experts to begin exploring solutions specific to your organization's needs.
- Access all documents related to MAC virtual meetings (same content as what is available to the public via the MAC webpage)

MAC Team

Primary Points of Contact

Tanya Alteras

talteras@mitre.org

Leah Allen

lallen@mitre.org

Michael Bailit

mbailit@bailit-health.com