

---

# Primary Care Payer Action Collaborative



Chair



Charles Fazio, MD, MS  
SVP and Medical Director,  
HealthPartners, Inc.



**Track 2: Operationalizing CPC+ Data Distribution and  
Aligning Quality Measure Sets and Reporting**

December 12, 2016 Virtual Kick-Off Meeting

---

# Agenda

	Timeframe (ET)	Topic	Facilitators/Presenters
1	1:00 - 1:05 pm	Welcome, Meeting Overview and Guiding Principles	Charlie Fazio Michael Bailit
2	1:05 – 1:10 pm	Track 2: Review Objectives and Process	Michael Bailit
3	1:10 – 1:15 pm	Profile Status: Data Aggregation Mechanisms Among Participants	Michael Bailit
4	1:15 – 1:40 pm	Discuss Types of Aggregated Information Participants Would Like to Provide to Practices, for What Purpose – and Experiences To Date	Michael Bailit
5	1:45– 1:50 pm	Identify Promising Practices for Study and Future Meeting Presentation	Michael Bailit
6	1:50 - 2:00 pm	Follow-up Activities: Feedback and Requests for Meeting #2	Michael Bailit

---

# Roll Call

3

***Please enter your name and the name of your organization in the chat box to let us know you're participating in today's meeting.***

For technical issues, please contact Brian Silverman at: [bsilverman@rippleeffect.com](mailto:bsilverman@rippleeffect.com)

---

# Antitrust Statement

PAC Participants agree that all activities are in compliance with federal and state antitrust laws. In the course of discussion, **no financial information from payer participants will be shared with other payers or the general public.**

During meetings and other activities, including all formal and informal discussions, each payer participant will refrain from discussing or exchanging information regarding any competitively sensitive topics. Such information includes, but is not limited to:

- ü PMPM
- ü Shared savings or incentive payments
- ü Information about market share, profits, margins, costs, reimbursement levels or methodologies for reimbursing providers, or terms of coverage

---

# Primary Care Payer Action Collaborative

5

## Guiding Principles

- ü Commit to active engagement, collaboration, and information sharing;
- ü Maintain confidentiality as part of the approach to learning and action;
- ü Agree to open, constructive, and respectful conversations;
- ü Treat other participants with grace, and demonstrate patience with mistakes and challenges along the way;
- ü Refrain from lobbying CMS, an active partner and/or fellow payers in this work; and
- ü Commit to sharing feedback with the LAN on what is or is not working in the facilitation and operations of the PAC, and support course corrections where necessary and appropriate.

---

## CPC+ Payer Partner Collaboration Roadmap

### 10 Aligned Milestones



---

# What You Told Us

Your Topics of Interest for This Track re: Operationalizing CPC+ Data Distribution and Aligning Quality Measure Sets and Reporting

1. How can we best aggregate claim data? What infrastructure is required?
2. How can we leverage data from a health information exchange?
3. How do we ensure that the data that are provided are meaningful to practices and are actionable?  
ü How do we address the limitations of aggregated data what is de-identified?
4. How do we ensure data quality in reporting?
5. How can we best align payer measure sets, especially when there are different payer lines of business?

---

# Objectives for Track 2 – Milestone #6

For review and discussion

1. **Examine existing efforts** in the CPC+ regions and across the U.S. to aggregate data, and then distribute it to practices, in ways that are meaningful for practices.
2. **Identify interim or alternative steps** that might be taken to implement a coordinated data distribution strategy, and the challenges that must be surmounted.
3. **Consider draft payer concepts or strategies** to aggregate and distribute data within CPC+ regions for discussion, feedback and accelerating action.



---

## Milestone #6: Participant Priorities

Live poll

**Related to Milestone #6, which of the following is your biggest CPC+ priority?**

- A. Coordinating payer efforts to send practices reports with common content and format
- B. Coordinating payer efforts to make practice reports available through a common web portal
- C. Aggregating payer data to produce reports for practices
- D. Other

---

# Objectives for Track 2 – Milestone #7

10

For review and discussion

1. **Examine and document existing regional efforts** at measure set alignment within the CPC+ regions and across the U.S.
2. **Discuss priority opportunities to augment the CPC+ quality measures** through state and regional measures of interest and through new domains, e.g., through the inclusion of cost or efficiency measures and patient engagement measures.
3. **Identify and consider tools to facilitate measure set alignment**, including those publicly available via the *Buying Value Measure Selection* suite of tools.

---

# Profile of Data Aggregation Mechanisms

Live poll

- A. My state/region aggregates claim data and distributes performance information to practices.
- B. My state/region aggregates clinical data and distributes performance information to practices.
- C. My state/region is actively planning a strategy to distribute performance information from aggregated claim data to practices.
- D. My state/region is actively planning a strategy to distribute performance information from aggregated clinical data to practices
- E. We haven't any plans to distribute *any* aggregated performance data to practices

---

# Aggregated Information

What type of information would you ideally like to provide to practices – and why?

**Cost Measures**

**Utilization Measures**

**Clinical Data**

**Quality Measures**

**Attribution**

---

# Sharing Your Experiences

Lessons and Learnings in CPC+ Regions on Data Aggregation and Coordinated Data Sharing

**What have been your experiences to date with coordinated data sharing with primary care practices?**

# Identify States/Regions with Promising Practices

- Identify promising-practice states or regions for data aggregation and primary care practice distribution for study and future meeting presentations
- Potential candidates include **Michigan, Ohio and Oklahoma**
- Any **others** from the 7 CPC and 14 CPC+ regions?



---

# LAN Resources on Data Infrastructure

Supplemental Resources to Those Shared in this PAC Track

- Webinar: “Building a Robust Data Infrastructure that Supports Alternative Payment Models” October 5, 2016 Available at <https://hcp-lan.org/events/ll-2016-10-05/>
- White Paper: “Accelerating and Aligning Population-Based Payment Models: Data Sharing” August 8, 2016 Available at <https://hcp-lan.org/groups/pbp/ds-final-whitepaper/>
- LAN Summit Presentations:
  - “Data Infrastructure to Support APMs at Scale” April 26, 2016 Available at: <https://www.lansummit.org/spring2016/blog/sessions/6b/>
  - "Data Sharing: Accelerating and Aligning Population-Based Payment Models" April 26, 2016 Available at: <https://www.lansummit.org/spring2016/blog/sessions/11d/>

---

# Meeting Follow-Up Activities & Feedback

Before Track 2 Meeting #2...

## 1. The LAN will:

- ü Distribute a summary of Meeting #1, including participant recommendations for ideal types of aggregated data for practice distribution
- ü Profile states and regions with promising practices in data aggregation and information distribution to primary care practices, and arrange for a presentation by promising-practice state or region at Meeting #2

## 2. Participants will:

- ü Complete and submit outline of data aggregation and dissemination opportunities and challenges in their markets

## Meeting Feedback:

- ü How was this meeting and how can the next meeting be more effective?
- ü Have you any requests for future meeting content?



# Looking Ahead

## Track 2: Sessions 2-5

Schedule	Virtual Meeting Activities
<b>Meeting 2</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review profile of promising practice states and regions</li><li>• Live interview of one of the promising practice states or regions, including but not limited to discussion of governance, vendor strategy and processes to support effective practice use of supplied data</li><li>• Promising practice state or region representative addresses self-assessed challenges reported by participants and makes recommendations</li><li>• Discuss ideas for interim interim or alternative steps that might be taken to implement a coordinated data distribution strategy</li></ul>
<b>Meeting 3</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Live interview of a second promising practice state or region with a different data aggregation approach than discussed in Meeting #2, including but not limited to discussion of governance, vendor strategy and processes to support effective practice use of supplied data lessons learned and pitfalls to avoid</li><li>• Two pre-selected participants share their rough draft plans for feedback and discussion</li></ul>
<b>Meeting 4</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review CPC+ clinical measure set</li><li>• Discuss whether the CPC+ measure set should be supplemented at the state/regional level , and if so, top opportunities to supplement that set</li><li>• Review steps to create an aligned measure set</li><li>• Buying Value Measure Selection Tool presentation</li></ul>
<b>Meeting 5</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Presentation by an organization that has created an aligned multi-payer primary care measure set</li><li>• Q&amp;A discussion regarding challenges and solutions to measure set alignment</li></ul>

---

# PAC Team

Primary Points of Contact

**Michael Bailit**

[mbailit@bailit-health.com](mailto:mbailit@bailit-health.com)

**Lauren Icard**

[lauren.icard@us.gt.com](mailto:lauren.icard@us.gt.com)

**Candi Waller**

[ccwaller@mitre.org](mailto:ccwaller@mitre.org)